

United States Patent and Trademark Office



in

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/777,400 | 9/777,400 02/06/2001 | | Todd M. Schulze | AD#-103 | 4532 |
| 23658 | 7590 | 04/04/2002 | | | |
| | | ISON, ATTOR | EXAMINER | | |
| 7691 FAIRL FAIRVIEW | | | AMIRI, NAHID | | |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 3635 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 04/04/2002 | ? |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

| | | | Q | 4 |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| <u>;</u> | - | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| * , | | 09/777,400 | SCHULZE ET AL. | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | _ |
| | | Nahid Amiri | 3635 | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply | appears on the cover sheet v | vith the correspondence address | |
| THE I - Exter after - tf the - If NO - Failu - Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the material period by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. t 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become by | reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on g | 06 February 2001 . | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ | This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for all | owance except for formal m | atters, prosecution as to the merits is | |
| Dispositi | closed in accordance with the practice und ion of Claims | ier <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C | .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| 4) | Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the applica | tion. | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without | drawn from consideration. | | |
| 5)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>5,6,10 and 11</u> is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) | Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-9 is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restriction an ion Papers | d/or election requirement. | - | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Exam | iner. | | |
| 10)🛛 | The drawing(s) filed on 22 June 2001 is/are: | a)☐ accepted or b)☐ object | ed to by the Examiner. | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to | | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | | disapproved by the Examiner. | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in | | | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. | | |
| • | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | |
| · · | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for | eign priority under 35 U.S.C | . § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority docum | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority docum | | - | |
| * (| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a | l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) |) . | ; |
| 14) 🔲 / | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom | estic priority under 35 U.S.C | 2. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | í |
| | a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom | | | , , , |
| Attachmer | nt(s) | _ | | l |
| 2) Notic | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No | 5) Notice | w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| J.S. Patent and | Trademark Office | | Port of Paper No. 2 | |

Application/Control Number: 09/777,400

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims1, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent No. 1,578,947(Alber).

In regard to claims 1, 9: Alber discloses except the length of body portion. In FIG.1-8, column 1, line 100-105 that anchor 1 plant on planking board 29 and on column 2, line 67-69, that the length of anchor 1 is adjustable to fit the types of sleepers or studdings in use and is expanding in a direction of the thickness of the concrete wall and there is space between the planking board and the surface on which the concrete wall is poured. In FIG.7, column 2, line 80-85 shows that weldment plate include the aligning board 29 with extended anchor 1, by using nails 37 to secure the anchor to board 29. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have different dimension, since Alber has not disclosed the exact dimension of body portion it will not create any problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with length of anchor is given by Alber in order to hold the planking board (weldment plate) in proper position until the wet concrete sets up.

2. Claims 2-4, 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alber in view of U.S Patent No. 3333380(Wolf).

In regard to claims 2-4, 7-8: Alber discloses the claimed invention except for the body portion been adjustable and length is adjustable by manually removing excess length, also to

Art Unit: 3635

have minimum concrete surface treatment from taper when the body portion made of plastic, metal and powder metal. Wolf teaches that in order to have adjustable length the anchor 1 comprises two component, first component tabular sleeves 5 with plurality of holes 6 are adapted to receive the second component cylindrical supporting rods 8 and by cotter pins 11 may be inserted into and passed through one of the transverse bores 7 in rods 8 and pair of opposite holes 6 in the sleeves 5 for the purpose of adjusting manually relative to each other in order to achieve the desire length. The free end of each anchor is acute conical spike 9 with an annular groove 10 to exert a minimum treatment to the concrete wall that made of steel (metal) or for having lower cost made of plastic. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to maintained desirable length and minimize the treatment to concrete and it is made of different type of material such as plastic or metal as taught by Wolf states in FIG. 1-7, column 1-3, line 65-75 and line 1-16.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-6, 10-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but 3. would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nahid Amiri whose telephone number is (703) 305-4142. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00-4:30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Carl Friedman can be reached at (703) 308-0839.

na

March 14, 2002

Carl D. Friedman Supervisory Patent Examiner

COURT 3600